

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,002	12/30/2003	Hans-Christoph Rohland	2058.331US1	9951	
50400 09252011 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/SAP P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ALI, FARHAD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2478		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/750 002 ROHLAND ET AL. Office Action Summary Fxaminer Art Unit FARHAD ALI 2478 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-21,23-25 and 28-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9.11-21.23-25 and 28-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/750,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 2478

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims:

Claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-25, and 28-32 are pending in this Office Action.

Claims 1, 6, 14, 18 and 28 are amended.

Claim 27 in canceled.

Claim 32 is new.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/14/2011 has been entered.

Claim Objections

 Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 28 is dependent upon claim 26 which has been previously canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2478

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary side lin the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-25, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (US 2003/0154239) in view of Story et al. (US 6,081,807).

Claim 1

Davis teaches a system comprising:

a plurality of instances of an application server coupled in a star topology with the message server at a center of the star topology, the message server handling communications between the plurality of instances of the application server (paragraph [0014] "According to another aspect of the present invention, developers preferably separate their Web application into two layers: a highly distributed edge layer and a centralized origin layer. In a representative embodiment, the edge layer supports a Web container so that the following technologies are supported: Java server pages (JSPs), servlets, Java beans, Java helper classes, and tag libraries. Preferably, communications between the edge and the origin use conventional communication protocols such as RMI and SOAP. Any protocol

Art Unit: 2478

that can be tunneled over HTTP, such as JDBC, can also be supported" and paragraph [0015] "Preferably, an application is run on the edge server in its own application server instance in its own Java virtual machine (JVM). In a preferred embodiment, a content delivery network service provider operates a CDN with at least one edge server that includes multiple application server/JVM instances. with each instance associated with a given CDN customer"), one or more of the plurality of instances to register or reregister instance-specific information with the message server upon a starting or restarting, respectively, of the message server, the instance-specific information including an instance number, the instance number identifying the associated instance to the message server (paragraph [0060] "In particular, the wrapper 1006 initializes JESAPI 1004, performs any necessary runtime configuration of the application server process 1002, starts the server. and notifies JESAPI when the server is ready to process requests. Because it is the entry point for the application, the wrapper must initialize JESAPI and the application server with the data supplied to it by the edge server process (element 900 in FIG. 9) (in the form of arguments, Java system properties, and the like). The data includes, for example; an application server instance id (used by JESAPI) and the socket port the servlet container must be on for HTTP connections").

Davis does not disclose a message server having no persistent state such that the message server can be restarted after a failure without performing state recovery operations.

Art Unit: 2478

Story et al. teaches a stateless server in Column 1 lines 27-35, "The NFS protocol is defined in various standards documents, e.g., "NFS: Network File System Protocol Specifications," Sun Microsystems, Inc., RFC (Request for Comment) 1094, which is hereby incorporated by reference. The NFS protocol requires a "stateless server." This means that the state of interactions between the server and a client are not to be tracked or managed by the server during a session" in order that "if a client makes a request to a server, and after satisfying that request the server fails and is restarted, the server must be able to handle subsequent related requests from the client without needing to access state data that was lost when the server failed" (Column 1 lines 35-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to create the invention of Davis to include the stateless server as taught by Story et al. in order that "if a client makes a request to a server, and after satisfying that request the server fails and is restarted, the server must be able to handle subsequent related requests from the client without needing to access state data that was lost when the server failed" (Column 1 lines 35-39).

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected for the same reasoning as claim 1 as they are analogous in scope.

Art Unit: 2478

Claim 2

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1 wherein each instance comprises:

a dispatcher node; and a plurality of server nodes (paragraph [0058] "The following describes modifications to a Java application server, specifically its servlet container component, to integrate into the inventive framework. This application server is executed on an edge server, which, as noted above, is a machine running commodity hardware and an operating system. As illustrated in FIG. 9, a preferred architecture is implemented via out of process architecture and comprises an edge server process 900 and multiple Java application server processes 902a-n. An edge node in the content delivery network preferably has a single edge server application that can spawn multiple child processes each containing an application server instance, as was illustrated in FIG. 8. Each child process preferably is configured for a Java Edge Services API (JESAPI), which according to the invention is an integration framework for a Java application server").

Claims 8 and 20 are rejected for the same reasoning as claim 2 as they are analogous in scope.

Art Unit: 2478

Claim 3

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 2 wherein each server node comprises:

a java 2 enterprise edition (J2EE) engine (paragraph [0042] "The present invention is a CDN Java application framework offering comprising Java-enabled edge servers. A given edge server (the machine) such as illustrated above in FIG. 2 is assumed to include application server code. As is well-known, an application server is a software platform (sometimes called middleware) on which applications can be deployed. It provides useful utility services and functions to applications. There are currently several major types of application servers, Javabased (J2EE) and Microsoft .NET. Java, of course, is a programming language and a platform, and the programming language is object-oriented and platform independent").

Claim 4

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1 further comprising:

a central lock server to provide cluster wide locks to the plurality of instances (paragraph [0015] "In addition to resource management, preferably security restrictions are imposed on applications running in each application server/JVM process. This is sometimes referred to as sandboxing. These restrictions include, for example, file system read/write restrictions, limitations on socket opening and usage, restrictions on thread starting, stopping and modification, as well as code

Art Unit: 2478

restrictions that prevent applications from reading certain application server classes. Preferably, a given application cannot run or load code belonging to other applications, it cannot load data belonging to another application, it cannot read or write arbitrary files on the file system, and it cannot make native kernel calls or load libraries that make native calls").

Claims 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected for the same reasoning as claim 4 as they are analogous in scope.

Claim 5

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1 wherein the message server comprises:

a first data structure to store a list of connected clients (paragraph [0048] "At step (2), the edge server 602 applies the customer's configuration data 610 to the request, determining if the request should be serviced using the edge server's local cache 608 or Java processor 606, or forwarded (e.g., via tunneling) to the customer's origin server 604. Thus, when the edge server receives a request from a client, preferably it first matches the request with an appropriate customer configuration file. If the customer configuration file associates Java processing with the request, the Java processor 606 is engaged. If the request is for a servlet or a JSP page, the Java processor 606 fulfills the request"); and a second data structure and a list of services provided in the system (paragraph [0048] "FIG. 6

Art Unit: 2478

illustrates how an end user client browser 600 interacts with a content delivery network edge server 602 and an origin site 604 to facilitate execution of the application (and, in particular, its Web tier components) on the edge of the network. In this example, it is assumed that the Web tier components of the application are available for deployment and execution on the edge server. As described above, the edge server 602 has a Java processor 606, a cache 608, and a set of customer configuration data 610. The origin site 604 executes a Java application server 612 and includes data sources 614").

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasoning as claim 5 as it is analogous in scope.

Claim 7

The modified Davis teaches the non-transitory computer readable storage media of claim 6 containing executable computer program instructions which when executed cause a digital processing system to perform the method further comprising:

sharing a database among the plurality of application server instances (paragraph [0013] "The Enterprise tier typically comprises middleware such as entity beans, session beans, and message-driven beans that implement the application's business logic and that provide local or remote database support").

Art Unit: 2478

Claim 19 is rejected for the same reasoning as claim 7 as it is analogous in scope.

Claim 13

The modified Davis teaches the non-transitory computer readable storage media of claim 10 containing executable computer program instructions which when executed cause a digital processing system to perform the method further comprising:

notifying all registered instances from the message server when an additional instance joins the cluster (paragraph [0060] "The application wrapper 1006 acts as the bootstrap logic for the application server process 1002. The wrapper 1006 is customized to the application server type and acts as "glue" code connecting all the various components of the process. The wrapper component 1006 provides a JESAPI implementation singleton specific for the application server type, which may vary. In particular, the wrapper 1006 initializes JESAPI 1004, performs any necessary runtime configuration of the application server process 1002, starts the server, and notifies JESAPI when the server is ready to process requests. Because it is the entry point for the application, the wrapper must initialize JESAPI and the application server with the data supplied to it by the edge server process (element 900 in FIG. 9) (in the form of arguments, Java system properties, and the like). The data includes, for example: an application server instance id (used by JESAPI) and the socket port the servlet container must be on for HTTP connections").

Art Unit: 2478

Claims 25 and 28 are rejected for the same reasoning as claim 13 as they are analogous in scope.

Claim 29

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of instances is started using a first instance-specific bootstrap logic, the first instancespecific bootstrap logic synchronized with a second instance-specific bootstrap logic stored in the database (paragraph [0060] "The application wrapper 1006 acts as the bootstrap logic for the application server process 1002. The wrapper 1006 is customized to the application server type and acts as "glue" code connecting all the various components of the process. The wrapper component 1006 provides a JESAPI implementation singleton specific for the application server type, which may vary. In particular, the wrapper 1006 initializes JESAPI 1004, performs any necessary runtime configuration of the application server process 1002, starts the server, and notifies JESAPI when the server is ready to process requests. Because it is the entry point for the application, the wrapper must initialize JESAPI and the application server with the data supplied to it by the edge server process (element 900 in FIG. 9) (in the form of arguments, Java system properties, and the like). The data includes, for example: an application server instance id (used by JESAPI) and the socket port the servlet container must be on for HTTP connections. The application wrapper 1006 preferably configures the

Art Unit: 2478

edge server to only accept HTTP socket connections. In an illustrative embodiment, the application server process must accept connections bound for the local loopback host and on the port specified by the edge server process. Additionally, the application wrapper provides and registers any handlers with the application server necessary for integration, such as protocol handling and logging").

Claim 30

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1, wherein a node within the plurality of instances is started using a first node-specific bootstrap logic, the first node-specific bootstrap logic synchronized with a second node-specific bootstrap logic stored in the database (paragraph [0060] "The application wrapper 1006 acts as the bootstrap logic for the application server process 1002. The wrapper 1006 is customized to the application server type and acts as "glue" code connecting all the various components of the process. The wrapper component 1006 provides a JESAPI implementation singleton specific for the application server type, which may vary. In particular, the wrapper 1006 initializes JESAPI 1004, performs any necessary runtime configuration of the application server process 1002, starts the server, and notifies JESAPI when the server is ready to process requests. Because it is the entry point for the application, the wrapper must initialize JESAPI and the application server with the data supplied to it by the edge server process (element 900 in FIG. 9) (in the form of arguments, Java system

Art Unit: 2478

properties, and the like). The data includes, for example: an application server instance id (used by JESAPI) and the socket port the servlet container must be on for HTTP connections. The application wrapper 1006 preferably configures the edge server to only accept HTTP socket connections. In an illustrative embodiment, the application server process must accept connections bound for the local loopback host and on the port specified by the edge server process. Additionally, the application wrapper provides and registers any handlers with the application server necessary for integration, such as protocol handling and logging").

Claim 31

The modified Davis teaches the method of claim 18, wherein the instance-specific information further includes information about a new service that the one or more of the plurality of instances provide (paragraph [0060] "The application wrapper 1006 acts as the bootstrap logic for the application server process 1002. The wrapper 1006 is customized to the application server type and acts as "glue" code connecting all the various components of the process. The wrapper component 1006 provides a JESAPI implementation singleton specific for the application server type, which may vary. In particular, the wrapper 1006 initializes JESAPI 1004, performs any necessary runtime configuration of the application server process 1002, starts the server, and notifies JESAPI when the server is ready to process requests. Because it is the entry point for the application, the

Art Unit: 2478

wrapper must initialize JESAPI and the application server with the data supplied to it by the edge server process (element 900 in FIG. 9) (in the form of arguments, Java system properties, and the like). The data includes, for example: an application server instance id (used by JESAPI) and the socket port the servlet container must be on for HTTP connections").

Claim 32

The modified Davis teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instances are unable to communicate with each other during a failure of the message server (See claim 1 rejection; restarting of message server).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FARHAD ALI whose telephone number is (571)270-1920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 6:30pm.

Art Unit: 2478

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C. Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Farhad Ali/ Examiner, Art Unit 2478

/Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2478